

"Hockley, Mike"  
<mhockley@spencerfane.com>

05/24/2010 02:31 PM

To Cheryle Micinski  
cc vwarren, "Whitby, Kathleen"  
bcc  
Subject RE: Westlake bill

I received the invoices in today's mail. For your information, however, the OU1 invoice was in the envelope with the OU2 transmittal letter, and the OU2 invoice was attached to the OU1 transmittal letter. Since I received both invoices and transmittal letters, that is no big deal, but it could be a problem at sites where there are multiple operable units and different groups are responsible for the different operable units.

Also, I noticed that the MDNR Cooperative Agreements Costs line item in the OU2 invoice (\$14,236.13) seems high compared to the MDNR line items in the OU1 invoice (\$18,178.83) since there was very little activity associated with OU2, and the EPA costs were lower for OU2 than the MDNR costs. In addition, EPA's direct payroll costs for OU2 totaled \$9,666.76 compared to \$82,310.18 for OU1. It is difficult to understand how MDNR's OU2 costs are approximately 78% of its OU1 costs when EPA's OU2 direct payroll costs are only about 12% of EPA's OU1 costs.

I would like to call you to discuss this point and get some clarification concerning the basis for this glaring disparity. Will you be in the office this afternoon for a short call?

Michael D. Hockley  
Spencer Fane Britt & Browne LLP  
1000 Walnut Street, Suite 1400  
Kansas City, Missouri 64106-2140  
(816) 292-8233 (DID)  
(816) 474-3216 (fax)  
(913) 484-5642 (cell)  
mhockley@spencerfane.com

-----Original Message-----

From: Micinski.Cheryle@epamail.epa.gov  
[mailto:Micinski.Cheryle@epamail.epa.gov]  
Sent: Monday, May 24, 2010 12:07 PM  
To: Hockley@mintra01.rtp.epa.gov; Hockley, Mike; Hockley, Mike; Hockley, Mike  
Subject: Westlake bill

Hi Mike, The bills for OU1 and OU2 were mailed on Friday. Cheryle